THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

LESTER DOBBEY,)
Plaintiff,) No. 13 CV 5037
v.) Hon Magistrata Judga Mary Poyuland
DR. IMHOTEP CARTER,) Hon. Magistrate Judge Mary Rowland)
Defendants.)

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE AND RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND MOTION TO ENFORCE SUBPOENA AGAINST NON-PARTY STATEVILLE C.C.

Non-party Stateville Correctional Center ("Stateville"), by and through its attorney, Kwame Raoul, Attorney General for Illinois, hereby respectfully presents its motion to strike and response to Plaintiff's second motion to enforce subpoena against non-party Stateville Correctional Center, and states as follows:

On May 7, 2019, Plaintiff filed a motion to enforce a subpoena allegedly sent to Stateville's litigation coordinator's office and Stateville's counsel of record at the Illinois Attorney General's Office on March 20, 2019. In his motion to enforce the second subpoena, Plaintiff claims that:

"[t]he subpoena commanded Stateville to produce: 'any and all, daily attendance records, roll call/sign-in sheets, notification of absence and call-in reports, for former Medical Director, Dr Imhotep Carter, from July 2011 through May 2012."

ECF Dkt. No. 129 at p. 2. Stateville and its attorney aver that they did not receive a second subpoena from Plaintiff. Furthermore, Plaintiff's motion to enforce his second subpoena is the first notice Stateville received informing them of Plaintiff's request. Prior to the filing of Plaintiff's motion, Plaintiff did not attempt to contact Stateville or its counsel of record.

Based on the attachments to Plaintiff's motion, Plaintiff did not follow the procedures for serving a non-party under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45. Under the Federal Rules, Plaintiff was required to have a copy of his subpoena personally served, by a non-party, upon Stateville's litigation office or Stateville's counsel of record. FED. R. CIV. P. 45(b)(1); *Spec. Markets Ins. Consultants, Inc. v. Lynch*, 11 cv 9181, 2012 WL 1565348, at *1 (N.D. Ill. May 2, 2012) ("Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45(b)(1) requires delivering a copy to the named person. Certified mail is not sufficient."). Here, Plaintiff's exhibits show that he served the subpoena himself by using inmate mail. ECF Dkt. No. 129 at pp. 4-6. Plaintiff's act of serving the subpoena himself is procedurally improper because he is a party to this litigation. Furthermore, Plaintiff did not properly deliver the subpoena because he sent his subpoena via inmate mail, instead of having it personally served as the rule requires. As a result, Plaintiff failed to properly serve his subpoena upon non-party Stateville.

In addition, Plaintiff failed to abide by this District's meet-and-confer requirement under Local Rule 37.2. Prior to filing a motion compelling the production of documents, parties must make a good-faith effort to reach out to the subpoenaed party's counsel to resolve the dispute informally. N.D. Ill. L.R. 37.2. This effort should be in a statement, within the motion, describing the time and manner of the efforts made to reach out to the subpoenaed party. *Id.* If Plaintiff was unable to reach the subpoenaed party's counsel, he should have described the efforts he made to communicate with them. Here, despite Plaintiff's litigation experience, Plaintiff's motion does not include any statement satisfying Local Rule 37.2's requirements. As a result, Plaintiff's motion to enforce his second subpoena fails to follow Local Rule 37.2's requirement to attempt to informally resolve discovery disputes.

WHEREFORE, Non-Party Stateville requests that this Court strike Plaintiff's motion to enforce his second subpoena because he did not give notice to Stateville, and did not comply with Local Rule 37.2's meet and confer requirement.

Respectfully submitted

KWAME RAOUL Attorney General for Illinois

/s/ Benjamin C.R. Lockyer
BENJAMIN C.R. LOCKYER
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Illinois Attorney General
100 W. Randolph St., 13th Flr.
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 814-4324
BLockyer@atg.state.il.us

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned, an attorney, certifies that a copy of the foregoing **DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE AND RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND MOTION TO ENFORCE SUBPOENA AGAINST NON-PARTY STATEVILLE C.C.** was served on May 17, 2019, on the following person by placing a copy of same in the U.S. Mail at 100 West Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois 60601:

Mr. Lester Dobbey (R16237) Stateville Correctional Center 16830 State Route 53, P.O. Box 112 Crest Hill, IL 60403

> /s/ Benjamin C.R. Lockyer BENJAMIN C.R. LOCKYER

EXHIBIT ONE

	DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS	THOMAS C CLERK, U.S. DI
#R-162 LESTER DOBBEY		SCANNED AT STATEVILLE CO 5-7-19 by 1/K date Initials
Plaintitt,		transmitter an anti-manuscrimens white with main an invasion of "all-operate according to
6) CASE NO. 13-	CV- 5037
IMHOTEP CARTER DETENDANT.	,) Honorable Mag) Mary Rowland	istrate Judge,
PLANTIFF'S SE	ECOND MOTION TO EN	PORCE SUBPOENIA
AGAINS	T NON-PARTY STATEVIL	LE C.C.
Plaintiff	LESTER DOBBEY,	pro SE, pursuant
	S OF CIVIL PROCEdure	-
	to ENTORCE SubpoEX HEVILLE CORREctionAL	v .
IN Support	Thereof:	
		annele resouvere dente transport dente dente en en entre de la desta de la dela della dell
1. On	March 20, 2019, F	PAINTIFF ISSUED

b

CENTER, LEGAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT. THE SUBPUENA COMMANDED STATEVILLE TO PRODUCE: "ANY AND AND AND DAILY ATTENDANCE RECORDS, ROW CALL/SIGN-IN Sheets, NOTIFICATIONS OF ABSENCE AND CALL-IN REPORTS, FOR FORMER MEDICAL DIRECTOR, DR. Inhotop CARTER, From July 2011 through May 2012. (Exhibit-1).

- 2. The Subpoent Commanded production of the Responsive Records by April 8,2019; by 1:00 p.m., (Exhibit-1).
- 3. Plaintiff AVERS that he also sent A copy of Said Subpoent to the Illinois Attorney GENERAL Office. (Exhibit-2).
- 4. HOWEVER, AS OF MAY 7,2019, Plaintiff' AVERS that HE has NOT RECEIVED ANY FORM OF RESPONSE FROM STATEVILLE C.C., NOR their Attorney the Illivois Attorney GENERAL (Ex. 2).
- 5. Plaintitt ASKS this Honorable Court to Entorce said Subpoent, and compel the

(NON-party) Stateville Correctional Coulter, to Respond to the Subpoena attached hopein as Exhibit-1.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, LESTER DOBBEY,
pro St, RESpectfully ASKS this Honorable Court
to grant this Motion and compel Stateville
(.C. to Respond to Subpoena.

RESpectfully,

LESTER DOBBEY,#R-16237 SHAHEVILLE C.C., P.O., BOX 112 Jolist, IL 60434

(PRO SE- Plaintiff)

Cass: 1113 CW 05087 Postument #: 1329 Filed: 05120/129 Page 9 Of 18 Page 19 #8/48

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERY DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS Civil Action No. 13 - CV - 5037 SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF PREMISES IN A CIVIL ACTION
STATEVILLE CORRECTIONAL CEXTER, LEGAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT,
P.O. BOX 112, Joliet, IL 60434

(Name of person to whom this subpoena is directed) Production: YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce at the time, date, and place set forth below the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and to permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material: Any And All, Daily Attendance Records, Roll CAU/Sign-In Sheets, Notifications of Absence and CAU-IN REports, For Former Medical Director, DR. Imhotep Carter, From July 2011 thru May 2012. 1=87ER DOBBEY #R-16237 P.O. Box 112 Jollet, IL 60434 Date and Time: April 8, 2019 By: 1:00 p.m. ☐ Inspection of Premises: YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit entry onto the designated premises, land, or other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, date, and location set forth below, so that the requesting party may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the property or any designated object or operation on it. Place: Date and Time: The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached - Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so. Date: FEB - 8 2019 OR Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk Attorney's signature The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the attorney representing (name of party) (Plainti ESTER DOBBEY HR-16237, P.D. Box 112, Joliet, , who issues or requests this subpoena, are:

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

Cease:11:45-к-р5983-ровитен##1455-інпен956/0/49-раве19 об 8-равенри 18943 Ехні ві 1-1, рд. 2

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 13 - CV - 5037

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

I	received this subpoena for	(name of individual and title, if any)		
<u>0</u>	KI served the subpoena by ORKECHIONAL CEN YSTER— I returned the subpoena	HER, LEGAL SERVICES	person as follows: Statevil Dept., by Institutional on (date) 3.20.2019;	le 1 Mail or
U te \$ My fees a	endered to the witness the	sued on behalf of the United Sta fees for one day's attendance, an for travel and \$	tes, or one of its officers or agents, I had the mileage allowed by law, in the	nave also amount of
10	declare under penalty of p	erjury that this information is tr	ue.	
Date: <u>3</u>	·20 · 2019	LESTER	Servet's signature DOBBEY PlANHIFF Printed name and title)
		#R-16237, F	2.0, Box 112, Joliet, IL Server's address	60434

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action(Page 3)

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)

(c) Place of Compliance.

(1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:

(A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or

(B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person

(i) is a party or a party's officer; or

(ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

(A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and

(B) inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction-which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees-on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection,

(A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises-or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

(i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an

order compelling production or inspection.

(ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:

(i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;

- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

- (B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

(i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be

otherwise met without undue hardship; and

(ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored
- (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- (B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored

information in more than one form.

(D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection,

(A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation

(i) expressly make the claim; and

(ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court-may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
COUNTY OF Will	4) SS)

AFFIDAVIT

e ·
I, LESTER DOBBEY being first duly sworn under oath depose and state that the foregoing is true and correct and made upon my personal knowledge and I
am competent to testify thereto.
1. I Am the Plaintiff NAMED IN the CASE OF:
LESTER DOBBEY V. Inhotep CARter, No. 13-CV-5037 (U.S. Dist.
Ct, N. III.).
2. ON MARCH 20, 2019, I issued a Subpoenta to
Stateville Correctional Center, Legal Services Department, which
Commanded it to produce: "Any and All, Daily Attendance
RECORDS, ROLL CALL/SIGNS-IN Sheets, NotiFications OF Absence
And CALL-IN REports, FOR FORMER MEdical Director, DR. Inhotop
CARTER, From July 2011 through May 2012. The Supposion
Commanded Compliance by April 8, 2019, by 1:00 p.m.
3. As of May 7, 2019, I have Never Received A
RESPONSE to SAID SUBPOENA FROM Stateville C.C.
KO, Peroso do Simo Cooperation
- 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

Pursuant to 28 USC 1746, 18 USC 1621 or 735 ILCS 5/109, I declare, under penalty of perjury, that I am a named party in the above action, that I have read the above documents, and that the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

DATE: MAY 17, 2019

NAME: LESTER DOBBEY
IDOC#: R-16237
STAFEVILLE Correctional Center
P.O. BOX 1/2
Dolbet, ILL60434 IL

IN THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
Plaintiff/Petitioner
Vs. No. 13-CV-5037
Defendant/Respondent)
PROOF/CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
TO: U.S. District Court AHN: PRISON CORRESPOND 219 SOUTH DEARBORN Chicago, IL 60604 TO: Illinois Attorney General Atty: Benjamin Lockyor 100 West Randolph St., 134 Floor Chicago, It 60601
TO: CASSIDAY Schade, LLP, Atty: James MARUNA, ZZZ West Adams Sto. Svite 2900, Chicago, IL 60606
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on MAY The ,2019. I placed the attached or enclosed documents in the institutional mail at Stateville Correctional Center, properly addressed to the parties listed above for mailing through the E-filing System: Plaintiff's Second Motion to Enforce Subpoenta Against NON-Party Stateville C.C.
DATED: 5-7-2019 Name: LESTER DOBBEY IDOC#: R-16237 Address: Stateville C.C. P.O. Box 112 Joliet, IL 60434
Subscribed and sworn to before me thisday of
Notary Public